Demethylation. A more favorable dissociation energy of -126.8 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, reaction 2 , is obtained by fragmenting $\mathrm{CH}_{6}{ }^{2+}$ into $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$. For this process, however, three " $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds" have to be broken. Intuitively this suggests that an even higher barrier might be involved despite the larger exothermicity. After extensively searching at the 3-21G level we were able to locate this transition structure with $C_{s}$ symmetry. ${ }^{37}$ Our final estimate of the dissociation barrier is $59.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (MP3/6-31G**//6-31G* + scaled ZPE).

Similarly we assume a significant barrier for the fragmentation of $\mathrm{CH}_{6}{ }^{2+}$ into $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$. and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}^{+}$. since two $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds have to be cleaved in this near isothermic reaction 2. However, we did not investigate this process in detail.

Prospects for the Experimental Realization of $\mathbf{C H}_{6}{ }^{2+}$. Our work indicates that $\mathrm{CH}_{6}{ }^{2+}$ should be a metastable dication with quite high dissociation barriers. How can $\mathrm{CH}_{6}{ }^{2+}$ be generated? The reverse of reaction 4 , the hydrogenation of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}{ }^{2+}$, may, because of the favorable thermodynamics ( $\Delta E=79.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), be viewed as a possible mode for formation of $\mathrm{CH}_{6}{ }^{2+}$. Since $\mathrm{CH}_{4}{ }^{2+}$ is reported to have a lifetime greater than $3 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, and is generated by the mass-spectroscopic charge-transfer stripping technique from methane by a neutral collision gas $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right),{ }^{8}$ mixing in of hydrogen might provide the conditions for the formation of $\mathrm{CH}_{6}{ }^{2+}$. Although electron transfer from $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{CH}_{4}{ }^{2+}$ (eq 5) and proton transfer
(37) The following procedure proved successful: in a first approach at the 3.21G level $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$was separated from $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}$by imposing $\mathrm{C}_{3 v}$ symmetry. The resulting geometry had an $a_{1}$ vibration corresponding to the dissociation, but also an imaginary degenerate (e) vibration for rotation of the $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ planes Adjusting the geometry according to the normal mode of this e vibration led via a stepwise procedure to the desired transition structure.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{CH}_{4}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{4}^{+} \cdot+\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+} . & \Delta E=-102 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \\
\mathrm{CH}_{4}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{3}^{+} & \Delta E=-206 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \tag{6}
\end{array}
$$

from $\mathrm{CH}_{4}{ }^{2+}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (eq 6) are even more favorable, they are likely to have $\mathrm{CH}_{6}{ }^{2+}$ as the intermediate species. We encourage mass spectrometrists to seek this dication.

## Conclusions

The prototype of hexacoordinate carbon, $\mathrm{CH}_{6}{ }^{2+}$, is a minimum on the potential energy surface. Its $C_{2 v}$ geometry (1) has two stabilizing $3 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e}$ interactions. Despite the favorable thermodynamics for dissociation $\mathrm{CH}_{6}{ }^{2+}$ appears to have sizable barriers for deprotonation and for loss of $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$. Within these barriers two polytopal rearrangements were established, rendering $\mathrm{CH}_{6}{ }^{2+}$ subject to very fast H scrambling. Hydrogenation of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}{ }^{2+}$, by means of mass-spectroscopic charge stripping of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ with inert gas and hydrogen, is suggested as a possible experimental approach to $\mathrm{CH}_{6}{ }^{2+}$.
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#### Abstract

The reaction of singlet molecular oxygen, $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{(1} \Delta_{8}\right)$, with ethylene along four reaction paths of $C_{2 v}$ and $C_{s}$ symmetries has been studied. The present calculations show that formation of the peroxirane intermediate, the first step on the peroxirane pathway to dioxetane, has lower activation energy than that of the biradical pathway. The multiconfiguration CASSCF (complete active space SCF) method and a double- $\zeta$ basis (in the final calculations augmented with a single $d$ function on carbon and oxygen) were used. Contracted CI calculations were performed at the key points of the $C_{s}$ surfaces. Neither of the reaction paths of $C_{2 v}$ symmetry were found feasible. In $C_{s}$ symmetry, the barrier for formation of the peroxirane intermediate is calculated to be $149 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. The transition state of $C_{s}$ symmetry leading to dioxetane via a biradical species is analogous to the $C_{2 v}$ one, showing formation of even the second C-O bond at a rather early stage, and has a barrier of $169 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. No other low-energy transition states leading to dioxetane via a biradical species have been found in the present CASSCF-CCI calculations.


Since the "rediscovery" of singlet molecular oxygen by Foote and Wexler ${ }^{1}$ and Corey and Taylor, ${ }^{2}$ the reactions of $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{1} \Delta_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ with olefins have covered a wide spectrum of practical applications ranging from polymer chemistry and paper industry to pharmacology. ${ }^{3-19}$ The mechanisms of these reactions have been extensively studied, both experimentally and theoretically. However, for an attachment to a double bond, the results seem to be conflicting. ${ }^{20-38}$

The reactions of singlet oxygen with olefins can be classified into three groups: (A) 1,4 addition (endoperoxide formation); (B) 1,3 addition (ene reaction); and (C) 1,2 addition (dioxetane

[^0]formation). The first one is generally believed to be a concerted $[2+4]$ addition which proceeds through a six-membered-ring

[^1]
## Scheme I

(A) 1,4 - Addition (Endoperoxide formation)

(B) 1,3 - Addition (Ene reaction)

(C) 1,2 - Addition (Dioxetane formation)

$$
\|+\|_{0}^{0} \rightarrow \quad\left[\left.\right|_{0} ^{0}\right.
$$

transition state ${ }^{39,40}$ although other mechanisms also have been proposed. 41,42 The 1,3 addition has been extensively surveyed recently, ${ }^{24}$ the conclusion being that in gas phase this reaction proceeds through a peroxy biradical intermediate or quasi-intermediate. In this paper we study the dioxetane formation reaction (1) by using ethylene as a model olefin.

$$
\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{1}\\
0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Several mechanisms have been proposed for dioxetane formation: ${ }^{23}(\mathrm{Cl})$ the concerted $\left[{ }_{\pi} 2_{\mathrm{s}}+{ }_{\pi} 2_{\mathrm{s}}\right]$ approach is symmetry forbidden; ${ }^{43,44}(\mathrm{C} 2)$ a stepwise addition via a 1,4 diradical is ruled
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(17) Edwards, J.; Quinn, P. J. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 1980, 8, 196.
(18) Mach, J.; Veprek-Siska, J. Chem. Listy 1980, 74, 1.
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Scheme II

(C2) Addition via an 1,4-diradical

(C3) Addition via an 1,4-dipolar species

(C4) Concerted $\left[\pi^{2}{ }_{s}+\pi_{s}^{2}\right]$ addition via a charge-transfer complex

(C5) Concerted $\left[\pi^{2} s+\pi_{a}^{2}\right]$ addition

(C6) Addition via a peroxirane intermediate

out because radical inhibitors do not affect the reaction rate; ${ }^{45}$ (C3) evidence has been presented for dioxetane formation via 1,4 -dipolar species; ${ }^{23}$ (C4) the concerted $\left[{ }_{\pi} 2_{s}+\pi{ }_{\pi}\right.$ ] process becomes allowed when charge transfer from the olefin $\pi$ orbital to the oxygen $\pi^{*}$ orbitals can occur; ${ }^{7,45}$ (C5) the cycloaddition might occur via a concerted symmetry-allowed $\left[\pi_{\mathrm{s}}+{ }_{\pi} 2_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ ] pathway; ${ }^{23}$ (C6) theoretical MINDO calculations support a process where the rate-determining step is formation of a peroxirane. ${ }^{46-48}$ Which mechanism is the dominant one has not been unequivocally settled. ${ }^{23}$

In the present work we explore the four pathways shown in eq $2-5$. Orbital and state correlation diagrams would indicate that the concerted path, mechanism 3, is symmetry forbidden. ${ }^{7,43,44}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{C}{\|}+0=0 \rightarrow \text { DO-O } \quad\left(C_{2}, \text { symmetry }\right)  \tag{2}\\
& \left\|_{C}^{C}+\right\|_{0}^{0} \rightarrow \square_{0}^{0}\left(C_{2} \text {, symmetry }\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \stackrel{C}{\|}+0-0 \rightarrow D 0-0 \quad\left(C_{s} \text { symmetry }\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \|_{C}^{C}+\underset{O}{0} \rightarrow \underset{0}{0}\left(C_{s} \text { symmetry }\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore one would expect to find a rather large barrier and a change of electron configuration during the reaction. Formation

[^2]of peroxirane along path 2 which is the first step in mechanism C6 is claimed to be symmetry allowed. ${ }^{7}$ However, Harding and Goddard ${ }^{24}$ have pointed out that correlation diagrams are not applicable in this case, and actually one finds a change of electronic structure on path 2. Mechanisms 4 and 5 exhibit no such changes of electron configuration; i.e., they are symmetry allowed.

## Method

The Hartree-Fock model gives quite accurate zeroth-order wave functions for stable closed-shell molecules and also in many cases for open-shell systems. However, it breaks down in situations where the electrons undergo substantial rearrangements, as in chemical reactions. There, several electronic configurations are needed even at the zerothorder level of approximation. An obvious choice, then, is a multiconfiguration (MC) model. In this work the complete active space (CAS) approach ${ }^{49-51}$ has been used. The main features of this method are as follows:

The total one-electron space, obtained from the atomic basis by the LCAO procedure, is divided into two parts. Those orbitals used to build the configurations are called primary and the remaining ones secondary orbitals. The primary space comprises inactive orbitals, which are doubly occupied in all configurations, and active orbitals, which describe the changes during the chemical process. The CI wave function is complete in the active subspace. Thus the sometimes difficult choice of important configurations is avoided, and the only remaining "chemical" problem is the choice of the active orbitals. The MC SCF problem is solved with the so-called "super-CI" technique. ${ }^{51-53}$

In the present work the active subspace includes eight orbitals. In terms of the separated molecules $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ they are the ethylene $\pi$ and $\pi^{*}$ orbitals, the bonding and antibonding oxygen $\sigma$ orbitals, $3 \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $3 \sigma_{u}$, and the oxygen $\pi$ bond and lone-pair orbitals $1 \pi_{u}$ and $1 \pi_{\mathrm{g}}$. These orbitals are needed to describe the formation of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds from the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \pi$ bonds and restructuring of the oxygen lone pairs. Obviously, this active space is rather limited, in particular as it is lacking the important $2 \pi_{u}$ orbital. The present study, however, includes calculations of four reaction paths for the $\mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ reaction, and a large number of independent calculations (several hundred points on the energy surface) have been performed. With such a prospect in mind it is necessary to limit the size of each calculation as much as possible. Important parts of the energy hypersurface can afterward be studied with higher accuracy.

The preliminary calculations were done by using a double- $\zeta$ basis. For carbon and oxygen the ( 9 s 5 p ) primitive set of atom-centered Cartesian Gaussians ${ }^{54}$ was contracted to a (4s2p) basis as suggested by Dunning. ${ }^{55}$ For hydrogen a (4s) primitive set, ${ }^{54}$ contracted to ( 2 s ), ${ }^{55}$ was used. The exponents for hydrogen were scaled by a factor of 1.24 . Finally, the equilibrium geometries of the molecules involved were reoptimized by using the previous double- $\zeta$ basis augmented with a single d function on carbon and oxygen. Small nets of points around the transition states on paths 4 and 5 were also computed with the augmented basis set in order to refine the heights of the energy barriers. The exponents $\alpha_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{C})=0.750$ and $\alpha_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{O})=0.861$ were obtained through an optimization for the separated molecules at their experimental geometries. Contracted CI (CCI) calculations ${ }^{59}$ were performed at the key points on the surfaces with use of the augmented basis set and with the most important CASSCF configurations as reference states.

## Calculations

The potential energy surface governing mechanisms $2-5$ in the reaction of ethylene with singlet molecular oxygen were studied. The $C_{2 v}$ symmetry was assumed to be maintained during the reactions along paths 2 and 3. These two possible mechanisms were studied by using the double- $\zeta$ basis only. Two geometrical parameters, the distance between the molecules and the oxygen bond length, were considered as reaction coordinates and varied explicitly while the carbon-carbon bond length and the hydrogen tilt angle were optimized for each point on the surface. The rest of the parameters were kept fixed. The geometrical parameters are summarized in Figure la,b. The optimal geometries and the total energies for the reactants, transition states, and products,

[^3]

Figure 1. The geometrical parameters for the four reaction paths. The distances $R$ and $R_{00}$ and the angle $\alpha$, where relevant, have been treated as reaction coordinates. The distance $R_{\mathrm{CC}}$ and the angles $\beta, \beta^{\prime}$, and $\gamma$ have been optimized at every point.


Figure 2. The potential energy surfaces for the $C_{2 v}$ reaction mechanisms. The lowest contour line corresponds to $\mathbf{- 2 2 6 . 7}$ hartrees, and the interval is 0.02 hartrees. The letters refer to reactants (R), transition states (TS), and products $(\mathrm{P})$. The reaction path on the surface is indicated as a dashed line: (a) path 2 ; (b) path 3.
restricted to $C_{2 v}$ symmetry, are given in Table I. The resulting surfaces are visualized in Figure 2. Occupation numbers of the active orbitals are given in Tables III and IV.
The reaction paths of $C_{s}$ symmetry were surveyed extensively by using the double- $\zeta$ basis set. In addition to the reaction coordinates in the $C_{2 v}$ cases the oxygen tilt angle was varied explicitly. Again, $R_{\mathrm{CC}}$ and the hydrogen tilt angle(s) were optimized at each point. The key features of these surfaces are shown in Table II. Note that the total energies in this table come from calculations with the augmented basis set while those in Table I have been calculated with the double- $\zeta$ basis set.

Guided by the results from the calculations with the double- $\zeta$ basis set, the critical sections of the potential energy surfaces for

Table I. Optimal Geometries and Total Energies for the Reactants, Transition States, and Products on Paths with $C_{2 U}$ Symmetry ${ }^{a}$

|  | $R$ | $R_{\mathrm{OO}}$ | $R_{\mathrm{CC}}$ | $\beta$ | $R_{\mathrm{CH}^{b}}{ }^{b} \mathrm{HCH}^{b}$ | $E_{\text {tot }}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\infty^{c}$ | 132 | 136 | 0 | 107 | 120 | -227.6989 |
| $\mathrm{TS}(2)$ | 172 | 201 | 140 | 0 | 107 | 120 | -227.5640 |
| $\mathrm{TS}(3)$ | 214 | 148 | 139 | 0 | 107 | 120 | -227.6125 |
| dioxetane | 148 | 164 | 153 | 40 | 107 | 120 | -227.7101 |
| peroxirane | 138 | 256 | 151 | 22 | 107 | 120 | -227.6046 |

${ }^{a}$ For definitions of the geometrical parameters, see Figure 1. All distances are in pm, bond angles in deg, and energies in Hartrees. The total energies have been obtained with CASSCF method using double- $\zeta$ basis sets. ${ }^{b}$ A fixed value has been used. c 20 au .


Figure 3. Coefficients of the most important electron configurations along the four reaction paths. The intermolecular distance $R$ indicates how far the reaction has proceeded (cf. Table VII).
reaction paths 4 and 5 were then recomputed by using the augmented basis set. Thus the geometries of the reactants at infinite intermolecular distance were optimized. Also, the geometries of the products, dioxetane and peroxirane molecules, constrained to $C_{2 v}$ and $C_{s}$ symmetries, respectively, were optimized. Near the transition state a mesh of points in the three-dimensional reaction coordinate space was set up. For the transition state leading to peroxirane, the bond length and the hydrogen tilt angle were optimized at each point. As these values agreed with those obtained in the double- $\zeta$ calculations, no such optimization was performed on the surface describing mechanism 5 . The occupation numbers on the orbitals are summarized in Tables V and VI.

Variations of the CI coefficients along the four reaction paths are depicted in Figure 3. The most important electron configurations of each mechanism are listed in Table VII along with their respective CI coefficients corresponding to the transition state.

Finally, CCI calculations were performed at the key points of the surfaces described in (4) and (5) with the geometries obtained with the CASSCF method at the double $-\zeta+\mathrm{d}$ level. For each of these two paths, the seven configurations listed in Table VII were used as reference states. The externally truncated CI expansion comprised about 1100 configurations for path 4 and about 1000 configurations for path 5 . The uncontracted configuration spaces comprised about 160000 and 145000 states, respectively.

## Discussion

The energies for reaction paths 2 and 3 are obtained with the double- $\zeta$ basis set. All energies for reaction paths 4 and 5 refer to calculations with the augmented basis set and the CASSCF method unless otherwise stated.

1. Reactants. The optimized geometries for the noninteracting reactants are given in Tables I and II. The ethylene molecule is described quite realistically with the present active space and both basis sets. The optimized oxygen bond distance, however, is 10 pm longer than the experimental one, $122.55 \mathrm{pm},{ }^{56}$ at the
double- $\zeta$ level of approximation. When one d function with $\alpha_{\mathrm{d}}$ $=0.861$ (optimized at $R_{00}=122 \mathrm{pm}$ ) is included in the basis set and the original six active orbitals ( $3 \sigma_{\mathrm{g}}, 3 \sigma_{\mathrm{u}}, 1 \pi_{\mathrm{u}}$, and $1 \pi_{\mathrm{g}}$ ) are used, a much better bond length of 123 pm is obtained. The dissociation energy is improved from $0.05885 \mathrm{au}(1.60 \mathrm{eV})$ to $0.10648 \mathrm{au}(2.90 \mathrm{eV})$, as well. Inclusion of an additional $\pi_{u}$ orbital, $2 \pi_{\mathrm{u}}$, in the active space improves the dissociation energy further to $0.1376 \mathrm{au}(3.75 \mathrm{eV})$. The experimental value for the dissociation energy of $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{1} \Delta_{g}\right)$ is $4.20 \mathrm{eV} .{ }^{56}$ Despite of this slight uncertainty, it is felt that the key features of the present double- $\zeta$ plus d-function surfaces mimic the reality and that the conclusions of this work still hold.
2. Products. The equilibrium geometry of peroxirane has lower symmetry than $C_{2 v}$, and therefore path 2 is ruled out. When constrained to $C_{s}$ symmetry, the peroxirane molecule is found to lie $115 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the reactants or $170 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the dioxetane molecule. At the CCI level of approximation the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distance seems to increase so that the CCI energy at the CASSCF optimum energy is $133 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ relative to the reactants and $260 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ relative to the dioxetane molecule. (There is a large energy lowering due to CI effects in dioxetane.) According to the GVB-CI calculations ${ }^{24}$ the energy difference between dioxetane and peroxirane is $220 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ (or $258 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ when a correction for zero-point energy is included). The UHF method and $4-31 \mathrm{G}$ basis set give a value of $134 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} .^{36}$ Estimate from a MINDO $/ 3$ calculation is $239 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} .^{47}$ The computed geometry agrees with those obtained in previous works. A comparison is given in Table II.

The outermost oxygen atom has net population of 0.46 electrons in peroxirane while the innermost oxygen atom is almost neutral. The carbon atoms are also slightly negatively charged ( -0.19 e ). The electrons are donated by the hydrogen atoms which have a positive charge of 0.23 e each. This explains the large dipole moment, 5.69 D . This value is comparable to the results from previous theoretical studies, $5.59 \mathrm{D}^{24}$ or 4.26 D. ${ }^{47}$

The optimal geometry of dioxetane is computed within $C_{2 v}$ symmetry with the augmented basis set although the molecule is very slightly puckered (the oxygen atoms are shifted about 5 pm from the original plane of the molecule). The present bond lengths and dipole moments agree with those of Harding and Goddard ${ }^{24}$ and Yamaguchi et al. ${ }^{36}$ However, the energy of the dioxetane molecule relative to separated ethylene and oxygen molecules at the CASSCF level ( $-53.6 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) differs drastically from that obtained by Harding and Goddard ( $-154.4 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) by the GVB-CI method and thermodynamical corrections. ${ }^{24}$ This discrepancy is remedied in the CCI calculations where the relative energy of dioxetane was found to be $-127.9 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.

It may be of some interest to note the great influence of polarization functions on the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length in dioxetane. The double- $\zeta$ CASSCF calculations yield a distance of 164 pm which is reduced to 154 pm by adding one set of 3 d functions to the basis set. The corresponding numbers for the oxygen molecule are 132 and 123 pm showing almost the same reduction. The latter value is very close to the experimental bond length in $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{1} \Delta_{\mathrm{g}}\right), 122.6$ $\mathrm{pm},{ }^{56}$ which gives some confidence to the value obtained for dioxetane. The weakness of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond is noticeable and is illustrated by a large occupation number ( 0.19 e without and 0.10 $e$ with the 3d functions) of the antibonding orbital $\sigma^{*} \infty$ (cf. Tables IV and VI).

The charge distribution in dioxetane obtained by Harding and Goddard, as measured by the dipole moment, agrees well with the present calculations. The oxygen atoms have a negative charge of -0.23 e each and the carbons -0.14 e each.
3. Transition States. A natural starting point for studies of reaction mechanisms is to draw orbital and correlation diagrams. ${ }^{57}$ The diagrams for the reaction between singlet molecular oxygen and ethylene ${ }^{7}$ show that the peroxirane formation (2) should by symmetry allowed while the concerted 1,2 cycloaddition (3) is symmetry forbidden. However, as has been pointed out by

[^4][^5]Table II. Optimal Geometries and the Computed Dipole Moments of the Reactants, Transition States, and Products on Paths with $C_{s}$ Symmetry ${ }^{a}$

|  | $R$ | $R_{\mathrm{OO}}$ | $R_{\text {CC }}$ | $\alpha$ | $\gamma$ | $\beta$ | $\beta^{\prime}$ | $E_{\text {tot }}$ hartree | $E_{\mathrm{rel}}, \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ dip. mom |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ <br> this work | $\infty b$ | 123 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & -227.7725 \\ & -227.9020^{f} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & { }_{0} f \end{aligned}$ |  |
| transition state, path 4 this work | 161 | 135 | 141 | 61.6 |  | 11.8 |  | $\begin{aligned} & -227.7036 \\ & -227.8452^{f} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +180.9 \\ & +149.1^{f} \end{aligned}$ | 4.19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dewar }^{c} \\ & \text { transition state, path } 5 \end{aligned}$ | 200 | 122 | 132 |  |  |  |  |  | +47.7 |  |
| this work | 197 | 127 | 138 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & -227.6938 \\ & -227.8377 f \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +206.6 \\ & +168.7 \end{aligned}$ | 0.55 |
| peroxirane this work | 129 | 152 | 146 | 67.9 |  | 11.8 |  | $\begin{aligned} & -227.7288 \\ & -227.8513^{f} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +114.7 \\ & +133.2^{f} \end{aligned}$ | 5.69 |
| Harding and Goddard ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 124 | 154 | 150 | 60.5 |  |  |  |  | +69.0 | 5.59 |
| Yamaguchi et al. ${ }^{e}$ | 128 | 165 | 146 | 64.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dioxetane this work | 148 | 153 | 152 | 0 |  | 36.4 | 36.4 | $\begin{aligned} & -227.7929 \\ & -227.9507^{f} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -53.6 \\ -127.8^{f} \end{gathered}$ | 3.67 |
| Harding and Goddard Yamaguchi et al. | 146 | 153 149 | 157 152 | 0 0 |  |  |  |  | -154.4 | 3.63 |

 tances are in pm, bond angles in deg, and dipole moments in debyes. All energies refer to double-s plus d function calculations. $b 20$ au.
${ }^{c}$ Reference 47. $d$ Reference 24. ${ }^{e}$ Reference 36. ${ }^{f}$ CCI result.

Table III. Occupation Numbers of the Active Orbitals at the Key Points on the Surface for Reaction Mechanism $2^{\text {a }}$

|  | $R=\infty$ | transitn state | peroxirane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ | ( OOO ) 1.9341 | 1.9027 | ( OOO ) 1.9559 |
| $10 a_{1}$ | ( $0^{*} \mathrm{OO}$ ) 0.0675 | 0.0969 | ( $\sigma^{*} \mathrm{OO}$ ) 0.0444 |
| $9 a_{1}$ | ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{CC}}$ ) 1.9072 | 0.6033 | $\left(\pi_{\mathbf{C C}}\right) 0.5853^{\text {b }}$ |
| $3 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ | ( $\mathrm{mOO}^{(0)} 1.9116$ | 1.9079 | $\left(\sigma^{\mathrm{CO}}\right) 1.9521$ |
| $4 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ | ( $\pi^{*} \mathrm{OO}$ ) 1.0814 | 1.7207 | ( $\eta_{\mathrm{O}}$ ) $1.7301^{c}$ |
| $5 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ | ( $\pi^{*} \mathrm{CC}$ ) 0.0928 | 0.0948 | $\left(\sigma^{*} \mathrm{CO}\right) 0.0488$ |
| $2 \mathrm{~b}_{2}$ | ( $\mathrm{O}_{0} \mathrm{OO}$ ) 1.9129 | 1.9979 | ( $\mathrm{OOO}^{\text {O }}$ ) 1.9988 |
| $3 \mathrm{~b}_{2}$ | ( $\pi^{*} \mathrm{OO}$ ) 1.0925 | 1.6758 | ( $\pi^{*} \mathrm{OO}$ ) 1.6845 |

${ }^{a}$ The inactive orbitals are all doubly occupied and therefore omitted. The character of the orbitals is indicated in parentheses. The numbers refer to CASSCF calculations with the double-s basis. ${ }^{b}$ This orbital has to a large extent retained its ethylene $\pi$ character. ${ }^{c}$ This orbital is predominantly of 2 p character residing at the outermost oxygen atom.

Table IV. Occupation Numbers of the Active Orbitals at the Key Points on the Surface for Reaction Mechanism $3^{a}$

|  | transitn <br> state |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{OO}}\right) 1.9198$ | 1.8850 | $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{OO}}\right) 1.8151$ |  |
| $7 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ | $\left(\sigma^{*} \mathrm{OO}\right) 0.0820$ | 0.1179 | $\left(\sigma^{*} \mathrm{OO}\right) 0.1891$ |  |
| $7 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\left(\pi_{\mathrm{CCO}}\right) 1.9073$ | 1.8666 | $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{CO}}\right) 1.9688$ |  |
| $8 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $\left(\pi_{\mathrm{OO}}\right) 1.8941$ | 1.2508 | $\left(\sigma^{*} \mathrm{CO}\right) 0.0407$ |  |
| $5 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ | $\left(\pi^{*} \mathrm{OO}\right) 1.0814$ | 0.8204 | $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{CO}}\right) 1.9599$ |  |
| $6 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ | $\left(\pi^{*} \mathrm{CC}\right) 0.0928$ | 0.1396 | $\left(\sigma^{*} \mathrm{CO}\right) 0.0311$ |  |
| $2 \mathrm{~b}_{2}$ | $\left(\pi_{\mathrm{OO}}\right) 1.8997$ | 1.9910 | $\left(\pi_{\mathrm{OO}}\right) 1.9993$ |  |
| $2 \mathrm{a}_{2}$ | $\left(\pi^{*} \mathrm{OO}\right) 1.1230$ | 1.9287 | $\left(\pi^{*} \mathrm{OO}\right) 1.9958$ |  |

${ }^{a}$ The inactive orbitals are all doubly occupied and therefore omitted. The character of the orbitals is indicated in parentheses. The numbers refer to CASSCF calculations with the double-s basis.

Harding and Goddard, ${ }^{24}$ the relevant state of the oxygen molecule, ${ }^{1} \Delta_{g s}$, has 1,2 -biradical character. Implicit in the correlation diagrams is the assumption of the reactant electronic structure involving only doubly occupied orbitals (either $\pi_{g x}{ }^{2}$ or $\pi_{g y}{ }^{2}$ ), thus invalidating the analyses. Similarly, the orbital phase continuity analysis ${ }^{58}$ of Yamaguchi et al. ${ }^{44}$ does not apply here. ${ }^{24}$

[^6]Table V. Occupation Numbers of the Active Orbitals at the Key Points on the Surface for Reaction Mechanism 4, Calculated with the Augmented Basis Set ${ }^{a}$

|  | $R=\infty$ | transitn state | peroxirane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9 a^{\prime}$ | ( $0_{\mathrm{OO}}$ ) 1.9413 | 1.9644 | (oom) 1.9972 |
| 13a' | ( ${ }^{*} \mathrm{OO}$ ) 0.0604 | 0.0455 | ( $\sigma^{*} \mathrm{OO}$ ) 0.0358 |
| 11a' | ( $\pi$ CC) 1.8982 | 1.9092 | ( $\sigma_{\text {CO }}$ ) 1.9653 |
| 12a' | ( $\pi^{*} \mathrm{OO}$ ) 1.0986 | 0.1185 | ( $\sigma^{*} \mathrm{CO}$ ) 0.0517 |
| $4 \mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime}$ | ( $\mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{O O}}$ ) 1.9139 | 1.9876 | ( $0_{\text {CO }}$ ) 1.9985 |
| $6 \mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime}$ | ( $\pi^{*} \mathbf{C C}$ ) 0.1018 | 0.0908 | (\%*) ${ }^{*}$ ) 0.0391 |
| $10 \mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime}$ | ( $\mathrm{O}_{0} \mathrm{OO}$ ) 1.9163 | 1.9562 | ( $\mathrm{TOO}^{(0)} 1.9514$ |
| $5 \mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime}$ | ( $\pi^{*} \mathrm{OO}$ ) 1.0695 | 1.9278 | ( $\pi^{*} \mathrm{OO}$ ) 1.9611 |

${ }^{a}$ The inactive orbitals are all doubly occupied and are therefore omitted. The character of the orbitals is indicated in parentheses.

Table VI. Occupation Numbers of the Active Orbitals at the Key Points on the Surface for Reaction Mechanism 5, Calculated with the Augmented Basis Set ${ }^{a}$

|  | transitn <br> state |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $10 \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ | $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{OO}}\right) 1.9198$ | 1.9525 | $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{OO}}\right) 1.9042$ |  |
| $15 \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ | $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{OO}}^{*}\right) 0.0820$ | 0.0491 | $\left(\sigma^{*} \mathrm{OO}\right) 0.0988$ |  |
| $11 \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ | $\left(\pi_{\mathrm{CO}}\right) 1.9073$ | 1.9332 | $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{CO}}\right) 1.9709$ |  |
| $12 \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ | $\left(\pi_{\mathrm{OO}}\right) 1.8941$ | 1.8629 | $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{CO}}\right) 1.9612$ |  |
| $13 \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ | $\left(\pi^{*} \mathrm{OO}\right) 1.0814$ | 1.0927 | $\left(\sigma^{*} \mathrm{CO}\right) 0.0386$ |  |
| $14 \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ | $\left(\pi^{*} \mathrm{CC}\right) 0.0928$ | 0.1030 | $\left(\sigma^{*} \mathrm{CO}\right) 0.0302$ |  |
| $3 \mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\left(\pi_{\mathrm{OO}}\right) 1.8997$ | 1.9290 | $\left(\pi_{\mathrm{OO}}\right) 1.9992$ |  |
| $4 \mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\left(\pi^{*} \mathrm{OO}\right) 1.1230$ | 1.0785 | $\left(\pi^{*} \mathrm{OO}\right) 1.9969$ |  |

${ }^{a}$ The inactive orbitals are all double occupied and are therefore omitted. The character of the orbital is indicated in parentheses.

The transition state on the peroxirane pathway (2) lies at $R$ $=170 \mathrm{pm} R_{00}=200 \mathrm{pm}$. The shape of the potential surface in Figure 2 shows that the exact position of the transition state is difficult to determine. The energetics of this reaction path is partly explained by the fact that neither of the dominant electron configurations for the reactants becomes the dominant electron configuration of the product during the reaction; i.e., the reaction is symmetry forbidden. Also, the surface is of limited interest because the product, peroxirane, has lower symmetry than $C_{2 v}$. Constrained to $C_{2 v}$ symmetry, the peroxirane molecule is calculated to lie $246 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the reactants and the barrier on the pathway leading to this product is $350 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. The height of the barrier is roughly halved when going to $C_{s}$ symmetry. The value obtained with the double- $\zeta$ basis set is $166 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Table VII. The Most Important Electron Configurations of the Four Reactions and Their CI Coefficients at the Respective Transition States ${ }^{a}$

| path | occupation numbers of the orbitals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { coeff }}{\mathrm{CI}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $8 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $9 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $10 a_{1}$ | $3 b_{1}$ | $4 b_{1}$ | $5 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ | $2 \mathrm{~b}_{2}$ | $3 \mathrm{~b}_{2}$ |  |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.80 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -0.48 |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | -0.16 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | -0.13 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | -0.12 |
| 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | -0.12 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { path } \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | occupation numbers of the orbitals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { coeff }}{\mathrm{CI}}$ |
|  | $6 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $7 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $8 \mathrm{a}_{1}$ | $5 b_{1}$ | $6 b_{1}$ | $7 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ | $2 \mathrm{~b}_{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{a}_{2}$ |  |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.71 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | -0.55 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | -0.24 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -0.16 |
| 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | -0.14 |
| 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | -0.13 |
| 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | -0.11 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { path } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | occupation numbers of the orbitals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { coeff }}{\mathrm{Cl}}$ |
|  | $9{ }^{\prime}$ | $10 \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ | $11 \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ | $12 \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ | $13 a^{\prime}$ | $4 \mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime}$ | $5 \mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime}$ | $6 \mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0.94 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | -0.15 |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | -0.13 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | -0.11 |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | <0.10 |
| 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | <0.10 |
| 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | <0.10 |
| path | occupation numbers of the orbitals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { coeff }}{\mathrm{Cl}}$ |
|  | $10 \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ | 11a' | 12a' | 13a' | 14a' | 15a' | $3 \mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime}$ | $4 \mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.88 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | -0.26 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | -0.14 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -0.12 |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.12 |
| 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | <0.10 |
| 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | $<0.10$ |

${ }^{a}$ The inactive orbitals are double occupied in all configurations and are therefore omitted.

Analogously, symmetry forbids reaction path 3 which describes a concerted 1,2 cycloaddition with transition state at $R=214 \mathrm{pm}$, $R_{\mathrm{OO}}=148 \mathrm{pm}$, and $225 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the reactants. The evolution of the coefficients of the most important configurations during the process is depicted in Figure 3b. The hump observed at the transition state describes the most important open-shell configuration. Its existence explains why the first attempts with a closed-shell MC method were ruined (cf. Table VII).

In the reduced symmetry the peroxirane pathway (4) has a transition state at $R=161 \mathrm{pm}$ and $R_{\mathrm{CO}}=135 \mathrm{pm}$ which lies 181 $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the reactants and $66 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the product. It is seen in Figure 3c that this mechanism is symmetry allowed. As can be expected on basis of Hammond's postulate, the transition state resembles the product. This resemblance is reflected in the elongated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds as well as in the electronic structure of the system. The $12 a^{\prime}$ and $5 a^{\prime \prime}$ orbitals are already quite strongly deformed at the transition state to produce the new bonds between oxygen and carbon. Even the dipole moment of the transition state, 4.19 D, indicates that the electronic structure is rather similar to that in peroxirane. The Mulliken population analysis shows that the outermost oxygen atom has gained 0.36 e while the innermost oxygen atom is virtually neutral. The carbons have an excess of 0.25 e each.

Mechanism 5 leading to dioxetane via a biradical intermediate is found to be about $25 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ less favorable than the peroxirane formation step in mechanism 4. This situation is not essentially altered in the CCI calculations. On this path the first oxygencarbon bond starts to form at the intermolecular distance $R=$
$200-210 \mathrm{pm}$. This is reflected in the deformation of the $11 \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ orbital to extend over both molecules. Shortly afterward the oxygen molecule starts to bend to become parallel with the ethylene molecule. Thus, according to the CASSCF calculations, the system tends to form the four-ring structure of dioxetane at a rather early stage. This bending motion is the most difficult part of the reaction because here the supermolecule approaches the symmetry-forbidden $C_{2 v}$ path (although the $C_{s}$ path remains symmetry allowed as is seen in Figure 3d). If the oxygen molecule is brought to the same distance $R$ from the ethylene molecule as found at the transition state but without forcing it to bend, the total energy of the system is much lower, $128 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the separate molecules or almost $80 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ below the transition state. However, no low-energy path leading to dioxetane via a strongly bound biradical species is found when the oxygen is pushed nearer the ethylene molecule along this valley.

## Conclusions

Four possible mechanisms for the reaction of ethylene and singlet molecular oxygen, $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{1} \Delta_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$, have been studied by using a double- $\zeta$ and double- $\zeta$ plus d function basis set. The present CASSCF-CCI calculations constitute for the present the most thorough mapping of the potential surfaces describing the reaction mechanisms $2-5$. They show that formation of the peroxirane intermediate, the first step on the peroxirane pathway to dioxetane, has lower activation energy than the biradical pathway. Those paths that belong to $C_{20}$ symmetry are found to be unfeasible. Path 2 exhibits an energy barrier of $350 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ and path 3 one of 225 $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. The explanations for these high barriers are straightforward: Mechanism 2 suffers from a serious symmetry restriction as the product peroxirane, has lower symmetry than $C_{20}$. Mechanism 3 is found to be symmetry forbidden as is seen in Figure 3 b . Reaction mechanisms 4 and 5 are both symmetry allowed but they, too, have rather high barriers of 181 and 207 $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively, in the CASSCF approximation. The barriers obtained with the CCI method are 149 and $169 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. The biradical path (5) to dioxetane is about $20 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ less favorable than path 4 leading to peroxirane. Substitution of the hydrogens at both ends of the C-C bond probably affects strongly this difference and may even switch the order of the reaction paths. This seems to be in accordance with the experimental findings, as well. ${ }^{29}$ No low-energy pathway leading to a strongly bound biradical species of type 5 with a short ( $\sim 150$ pm ) CO bond length has been found in the present work.

Unfortunately it is presently economically impossible to survey the totally unsymmetrical pathways. A particularly interesting candidate for closer study would of course have been the step from peroxirane to dioxetane. As there is no conclusive experimental evidence for a peroxirane intermediate, one must conclude that the conversion of peroxirane to dioxetane occurs much faster than the initial reaction (4)-or that there is an altogether different and more favorable pathway from ethylene and singlet oxygen to dioxetane.
Dewar and Thiel report MINDO/3 calculations ${ }^{47}$ that suggest a barrier of $142 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the step from peroxirane to dioxetane which would make this step rate determining. When this barrier height is added on top of the present CASSCF energy for peroxirane, the transition state is found $257 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the reactants. This activation energy seems rather high, however. It should not be energetically very unfavorable to distort the peroxirane to allow the conversion to dioxetane to occur. The cost for breaking one of the CO bonds can be estimated to be roughly $100 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ from the study of barriers to interconversion of 2hydroxyethyl cations via oxiranium ion. ${ }^{61}$ This energy requirement is counterbalanced by the energy gain obtained when simultaneously forming the new CO bond to give dioxetane. Therefore the barrier is expected to be well below $100 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.
A reaction mechanism where the oxygen molecule attacks one end of the ethylene molecule with the outermost oxygen atom

[^7] Chim. Acta 1978, 47, 97.
pointing away from the double bond (i.e., with $\alpha>180^{\circ}$, cf. Figure 1) as advocated by Yamaguchi et al. ${ }^{60}$ is an interesting proposition. Subsequently a rotation around the newly formed CO bond gives dioxetane in a process which involves a totally asymmetrical transition state.

The conclusion of this paper is, then, that the dioxetane pathway has somewhat higher barrier than the peroxirane pathway, provided that the conversion of peroxirane to dioxetane is not the rate-determining step. The present study is noncommital to the
totally unsymmetrical reaction paths.
Acknowledgment. Many encouraging discussions with Professor P. Pyykkö are gratefully acknowledged. M. H. wishes to thank The Academy of Finland for financial support. Besides the Finnish Universities' UNIVAC 1108, computer resources have been made available to us by the Computing Centre at University of Oulu and the Computer Centre in Lund.
Registry No. Oxygen, 7782-44-7; ethylene, 74-85-1.

# Clustering of Hydrophobic Ions in the Presence and Absence of the Polysoap Poly(vinylbenzo-18-crown-6) 

Bruno Roland and Johannes Smid*<br>Contribution from the Chemistry Department, College of Environmental Science and Forestry, State University of New York, Syracuse, New York 13210. Received January 19, 1983


#### Abstract

Measurements of optical absorption and fluorescence spectra and of turbidity in dilute aqueous solutions of 4-((1-pyrenyl)butyl)trimethylammonium bromide $\left(\mathrm{PN}^{+}\right)$and sodium tetraphenylborate $\left(\mathrm{BPh}_{4}^{-}\right)$point to the formation of stable aggregates with the preferred stoichiometry $\left(\mathrm{PN}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{BPh}_{4}^{-}\right)_{3}$. The complex only shows the pyrene excimer emission, which disappears, together with the coacervate phase, on adding an excess of the polysoap poly(vinylbenzo-18-crown-6) (P18C6). The presence of $\mathrm{BPh}_{4}{ }^{-}$ions greatly enhances the binding of $\mathrm{PN}^{+}$to P 18 C 6 , as the binding capacity of the polymer is increased from one $\mathrm{PN}^{+}$molecule per 26 monomer base units in the absence of $\mathrm{BPh}_{4}$ to about one $\mathrm{PN}^{+}$per 2.5 monomer units in the presence of $\mathrm{BPh}_{4}^{-}$. It is speculated that under these saturation conditions the pyrene moieties of the $\left(\mathrm{PN}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{BPh}_{4}{ }^{-}\right)_{3}$ complex are inserted in between adjacent benzocrown ether ligands with the $-\mathrm{N}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ groups protruding into the aqueous phase and paired to $\mathrm{BPh}_{4}{ }^{-}$ions.


Formation of 1:1 ion-pair complexes in dilute aqueous solutions of hydrophobic solutes of opposite charge and the subsequent appearance of a complex coacervate phase have been well documented. ${ }^{1,2}$ In a recent communication, Atik and Singer ${ }^{3}$ reported the formation of premicellar aggregates between the fluorophor sodium 5-(1-pyrenyl)pentanoate ( $\mathrm{PP}^{-}$) and cationic surfactants ( $\mathrm{S}^{+}$) such as cetyltrimethylammonium chloride (CTAC). They deduced from ESR studies with a surfactant nitroxyl radical that the predominant aggregate structure was $\left(\mathrm{PP}^{-}\right)_{2}\left(\mathbf{S}^{+}\right)_{3}$. The latter complex, with two pyrene moieties in close proximity, exhibited exclusively the characteristic pyrene excimer emission. An earlier report by Davis ${ }^{4}$ on the fluorescence of potassium 4-(1-pyrenyl)butanoate ( $\mathrm{PB}^{-}$) in the presence of cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) showed complete conversion of monomer into excimer emission when the ratio $\mathrm{CTAB} / \mathrm{PB}^{-}$reached the value 1.5 for $\left[\mathrm{PB}^{-}\right]=8.8 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$, although no conclusion was drawn as to the possible significance of this observation in terms of a specific aggregate stoichiometry.
In recent years we studied in detail the polysoap-type properties of poly(vinylbenzo-18-crown-6) (P18C6), a polymer which binds a variety of neutral and ionic organic solutes. ${ }^{5-9}$ While investigating the interaction of P18C6 with cationic and anionic pyrene derivatives ${ }^{10}$ we observed that the binding of 4-((1-pyrenyl)butyl)trimethylammonium bromide ( $\mathrm{PN}^{+}$) to Pl 18 C 6 in water can
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be dramatically enhanced by adding minute amounts of sodium tetraphenylborate. Subsequent studies on dilute solutions of $\mathrm{PN}^{+}$ and $\mathrm{BPh}_{4}{ }^{-}$in the presence and absence of P 18 C 6 indicate the presence of complexes of the type $\left(\mathrm{PN}^{+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{BPh}_{4}^{-}\right)_{3}$, similar to the premicellar aggregates found by Atik and Singer for the anionic pyrene derivative and the cationic surfactant molecule. Apparently, such complexes between hydrophobic ions may be more common, and their formation profoundly affects their binding to polysoap-type macromolecules like P18C6.

## Experimental Section

Materials. 4-((1-Pyrenyl)butyl)trimethylammonium bromide was obtained for Molecular Probes, Inc., while sodium tetraphenylborate of $99.5 \%$ purity was a Fisher product. The synthesis of poly(vinylbenzo18 -crown-6) was reported earlier. ${ }^{11}$ The number average molecular weight of the polymer as measured by osmometry was $110000\left(\mathrm{DP}_{\mathrm{n}}=\right.$ 320 ).

Measurements. Optical spectra were recorded on a Beckman Acta M VI spectrophotometer, and fluorescence spectra were obtained by means of a Perkin-Elmer 650-10S spectrofluorimeter. Turbidities of aqueous mixtures of $\mathrm{PN}^{+} \mathrm{Br}^{-}$and $\mathrm{NaBPh}_{4}$ were measured with a DRT-100 tur-
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